

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/586,964	06/05/2000	Pascale Adolphine Emilienne De Meuter	PM 270736	6581
7:	590 07/05/2002			
KENDREW H. COLTON FITCH, EVEN, TABIN & FLANNERY 1801 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 401L WASHINGTON, DC 20006			EXAMINER	
			WONG, LESLIE A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1761	N
		DATE MAILED: 07/05/2002	Γ^{χ}	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/586,964 Applicant(s)

De Meuter et al.

Examiner

Art Unit

		Leslie Wong	1761
•	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	spondence address
	for Reply		
THE N - Extens mailing - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In a date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause tiply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed he statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailin he application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S	after SIX (6) MONTHS from the econsidered timely. ng date of this communication. S.C. § 133).
Status	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 26, 2	2002	·
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This act	tion is non-final.	
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa		
Disposi	tion of Claims		
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-18	is/are	pending in the application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s) 7, 8, and 17	is/ar	e withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-3, 6, 9-16, and 18		is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restric	ction and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers		
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) \square accepted or b) \square objecte	ed to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	-	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved	b) ☐ disapproved by the Examine
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.	
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).
	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:		
	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have		
	2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	• •	
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	this National Stage
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	·	(e).
_	The translation of the foreign language provision:		
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	• •	
Attachm	ent(s)		
1) 🗌 No	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper	No(s)
2) 🗌 No	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	(PTO-152)
3) 🔲 lm	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:	

Art Unit: 1761

This application contains claims 7, 8, and 17 which are drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper Nos. 5 and 9. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1-3, 6, 9-16, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rosenplenter for the reasons set forth in rejecting the claims in the last Office action (Paper No. 10). The amendments to the claims and the new claim are not seen to influence the conclusion of unpatentability previously set forth.

Applicant's arguments filed April 26, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the claimed invention is prepared with a liquid coating.

Rosenplenter teaches a sugar-free hard coated chewing gum wherein the coating comprises sorbitol and erythritol in the amounts claimed, and the coating is applied 1-100 times (see entire patent). This is the same as is claimed

Application/Control Number: 09/586964

Art Unit: 1761

The recitation that the product is made by a new process, if the process were indeed new and patentable, does not render an otherwise unpatentable product new and patentable. It is pointed out that claims 1-3, 6, 9-16, and 18 are product claims and not a process claims. The product must stand on its own invention, independently of the process of producing same. See In re Marosi, 218 USPQ 195; In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964; Ex parte Jungfer, 18 USPQ 2nd 1976.

All of the claim limitations and arguments have been considered. None of them are seen as serving as basis for patentability.

No claim is allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a).

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Wong whose telephone number is (703) 308-1979. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

Art Unit: 1761

The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9310 for non-final responses and (703) 872-9311.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Leslie Wong Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761

LAW July 3, 2002